

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,867	03/23/2001	Fredrik Sundqvist	VCC0083-US	6067
22242	7590 10/07/2004		EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY			KIM, CHONG HWA	
SUITE 1600	120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60603-3406	•	3682	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>)</i> -	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/816,867	SUNDQVIST ET AL.
/\u\i\o\i\y\i\o\i\o\i\	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chong H. Kim	3682
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to available final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicate) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a name application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-6,16 and 17</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 7 and 11-15.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		í i
10. Other:		CHONG H. KIM RIMARY EXAMINER
	•	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Deleting the subject matter of lever mechanism and including only a lever in claim 7 raises new issue that would reuire further consideration and/or search. Essentially, claim 7 recites the subject matter of an arrangement that comprises a lever connected to a brake wire. It appears that such subject matter would not be patentable, and thus require further consideration and/or search.